DISCIPLINARY QUARTERLY REPORT

Reporting Period: September 30, 2024 – December 31, 2024

REPORT BY DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

Final Decisions

Final Decisions of the NM Supreme Court .....

In the Matter of David Jordan, (No. S-1-SC-40534). The New Mexico Supreme Court entered an order indefinitely suspending the Respondent pursuant to Rule 17-206(A)(3) NMRA, effective November 7, 2024, for a period of six (6) months.

Summary Suspensions

Total number of attorneys summarily suspended.....0

Total number of attorneys summarily suspended (reciprocal).....0

Administrative Suspensions

Total number of attorneys administratively suspended.....1

Disability Inactive Status

Total number of attorneys removed from disability inactive states 0

Charges Filed

Charges were filed against an attorney for allegedly by failing to provide competent representation to a client, failing to act with reasonable diligence and promptness in representing a client, by failing to make reasonable efforts to expedite litigation, failing to promptly comply with reasonable requests for information, by failing to respond to a lawful demand for information from the disciplinary authority and/or engaging in conduct involving dishonesty and/or engaging in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice.

Charges were filed against an attorney for allegedly failing to give full cooperation and assistance to the disciplinary board and disciplinary counsel in discharging the lawyer's respective functions and duties with respect to discipline and disciplinary procedures.

Injunctive Relief

Total number of injunctions prohibiting the unauthorized practice of law 0

Reciprocal Discipline

Total number of reciprocal discipline filed 0

Reinstatement from Probation

Petitions for reinstatement filed 0

Public Censure

Total number of public censures.....1

Formal Reprimands

Total number of attorneys formally reprimanded 0

Informal Admonitions

Total number of attorneys admonished 1

Letters of Caution

Total number of attorneys cautioned 7

Attorneys were cautioned for the following conduct: (3) lack of competence, (1) lack of diligence, (1) failure to communicate, (1) lack of fairness to opposing party/counsel, (1) disruption of a tribunal, (2) excessive or improper fees.

Complaints Received

Allegations	*No. of Complaints*
Trust Account Violations	0
Conflict of Interest	3
Dishonesty, deceit, fraud, misrepresentation	5
Specifically prohibited conflicts	4
Neglect and/or Incompetence	52
Failure to Follow Client Instructions	2
Misrepresentation or Fraud	0
Improper Withdrawal	0
Breach of Confidentiality	1
Improper supervision	1
Fees	3
Improper Communications	0
Failure to Communicate	1

Improper statements about judge's integrity.....0
Prosecutorial Misconduct..... 15
Improper Statements about Judge.....0
Improper Means.....1
Improper conduct with represented party.....1
Criminal Conduct.....0
UPL.....0
Improper Trial Publicity.....2
Lack of Fairness to Opposing Party/Counsel..... 15
Contact with Represented Party.....0
Meritless Claims or Defenses.....2
Lack of Diligence.....4
Engaged in conduct prejudicial to admin of justice..... 2
Other.....5
Disruption of Tribunal.....1
Unauthorized practice of law.....0
Total number of complaints received.....181

*Denotes total number of complaints received through 12/31/2024. May differ from the total number reflected in allegations due to reporting timing.